



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\$

Confirmation No.:

Group Art Unit:

Attorney Docket:

2224

2457

36221

In re Application of.:

Eliyahu MARMOR

Serial No.:

10/574,759

Filed:

April 3, 2006

For:

CONFIGURATION SETTING

Examiner:

Yves Dalencourt

Mail Stop: Amendment Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

ELECTION

Sir:

This is in response to the United States Patent and Trademark Restriction Office Action mailed August 24, 2009, which response is being made on September 24, 2009, and for which no extension of time fee is due.

Applicants hereby elect Group I, namely Claims 1-33, 35-42 and 48-54, drawn to a method of defining content.

Group 1 is elected with traverse, as the examiner did not specifically relate to the arguments regarding common special technical feature brought up in the previous response to restriction requirement.

Applicants reserve the right to file, at a later date, additional divisional applications claiming priority from the present application which are directed to the non-elected Group.

Respectfully submitted,

Martin D. Mozeula

Martin D. Moynihan

Registration No. 40,338

Date: September 24, 2009